

### REMARKS

Restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 and election of a single disclosed specie have been required among and between (a) claims 1-3, 5-14, and (b) claims 1, 2, 4-8, 12 and 13. These requirements for restriction and election are respectfully traversed.

Applicants submit that allowable generic claims in this application, including at least claims 1 and 2, obviate the basis for restriction and species elections, and note that the Examiner also correctly identified claim 1 as being generic. However, Applicants *provisionally* elect to prosecute in this application the group(a) claims including claims 1-3, and 5-14, and are electing these claims as the specie generic to, or at least consistent with, the first party being the buyer. The non-elected claim is being retained in this application, withdrawn from examination, pending allowance of a generic or linking claim.

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the provisionally elected claims are solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
Anthony Gert Du Preez

Dated: 12/16/08

By: /Albert C. Smith/  
Albert C. Smith, Reg. No. 20,355  
Attorney of Record  
FENWICK & WEST LLP  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Phone: (650) 335-7296  
Fax: (650) 938-5200  
Email: asmith@fenwick.com